# Donaldson-Thomas theory for Calabi-Yau four-folds

#### Yalong Cao

(Master thesis under supervision of Conan Leung) arXiv: 1309.4230 arXiv: 1407.7659

IMS and Department of Mathematics, CUHK

Gauge Theories in Higher Dimensions Aug 13, 2014, Hannover

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

. ⊒ →

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Gauge theory  $\sim \mathcal{A}(E)$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^r \to E \to M$ 

-

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ト ・

Gauge theory  $\sim \mathcal{A}(E)$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^r \to E \to M$ 

Eg. 
$$M = Y^3_{\mathbb{R}}, \ CS : \mathcal{A}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$$

-

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ト ・

 $\text{Gauge theory} \sim \mathcal{A}(E), \quad \text{where } \mathbb{C}^r \to E \to M$ 

Eg. 
$$M = Y^3_{\mathbb{R}}, CS : \mathcal{A}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$$
  
 $CS(A) = \int_{Y^3} tr(AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3), \quad \text{crit point: } F_A = 0$ 

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

 $\text{Gauge theory} \sim \mathcal{A}(E), \quad \text{where } \mathbb{C}^r \to E \to M$ 

Eg. 
$$M = Y^3_{\mathbb{R}}$$
,  $CS : \mathcal{A}(E) o \mathbb{R}$ 

 $CS(A) = \int_{Y^3} tr(AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3)$ , crit point:  $F_A = 0$ 

Floer-Morse theory on  $\mathcal{A}(E) \rightsquigarrow H^*_{CS}(Y, E)'' = "H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathcal{A}(E))$ 

 $\text{Gauge theory} \sim \mathcal{A}(E), \quad \text{where } \mathbb{C}^r \to E \to M$ 

Eg. 
$$M = Y^3_{\mathbb{R}}, \ CS : \mathcal{A}(E) o \mathbb{R}$$

 $CS(A) = \int_{Y^3} tr(AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3)$ , crit point:  $F_A = 0$ 

Floer-Morse theory on  $\mathcal{A}(E) \rightsquigarrow H^*_{CS}(Y, E)'' = "H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathcal{A}(E))$ 

Eg. 
$$M=X^4_{\mathbb{R}}$$
, Donaldson theory  $\{F_+=0\}/_{\cong}$ 

# Eg. $M = Y^3_{\mathbb{C}}(CY_3)$ , $\exists CS_{\mathbb{C}}$ , w/ crit point: $F^{0,2} = 0$ (i.e. holo bdl) $\rightsquigarrow H^*_{DT_3}(Y, E)$ s.t $\chi(H^*_{DT_3}(Y, E)) = \text{Donaldson-Thomas invariant}$

Eg.  $M = Y^3_{\mathbb{C}}(CY_3)$ ,  $\exists CS_{\mathbb{C}}$ , w/ crit point:  $F^{0,2} = 0$  (i.e. holo bdl)  $\rightsquigarrow H^*_{DT_3}(Y, E)$  s.t  $\chi(H^*_{DT_3}(Y, E)) = \text{Donaldson-Thomas invariant}$ 

Question:  $M = X^4_{\mathbb{C}}(CY_4)$ ?

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

 $CY_4$ :  $(X, g, \omega, \Omega)$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

$$CY_4$$
:  $(X, g, \omega, \Omega) \rightsquigarrow$ 

$$st_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X) o \Omega^{0,2}(X)$$
  
 $lpha \wedge st_4 lpha = |lpha|^2 \overline{\Omega}$ 

. ⊒ →

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

$$CY_4: \ (X,g,\omega,\Omega) \leadsto$$

$$st_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X) o \Omega^{0,2}(X)$$
  
 $lpha \wedge st_4 lpha = |lpha|^2 \overline{\Omega}$ 

Coupled with bundle (E, h)

Image: A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A 1 → A

$$CY_4$$
:  $(X, g, \omega, \Omega) \rightsquigarrow$ 

$$st_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X) o \Omega^{0,2}(X) \ lpha \wedge st_4 lpha = |lpha|^2 \overline{\Omega}$$

Coupled with bundle (E, h)

$$*_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X, EndE) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(X, EndE)$$

with  $*_4^2 = 1$ 

- ∢ 🗗 ▶ - ∢ 🗎

$$CY_4$$
:  $(X, g, \omega, \Omega) \rightsquigarrow$ 

$$st_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X) o \Omega^{0,2}(X)$$
  
 $lpha \wedge st_4 lpha = |lpha|^2 \overline{\Omega}$ 

Coupled with bundle (E, h)

$$*_4: \Omega^{0,2}(X, EndE) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(X, EndE)$$

with  $*_4^2 = 1 \rightsquigarrow DT_4$ -equation

$$\begin{cases} F_{+}^{0,2} = 0 \quad i.e. \quad F^{0,2} + *_4 F^{0,2} = 0\\ F \wedge \omega^3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Image: A matrix and a matri

### $DT_4$ moduli space $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \triangleq \{ DT_4 - solutions \} / \cong \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$

 $DT_4$  moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \triangleq \{ DT_4 - solutions \} /_{\cong} \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$ 

Question: Donaldson-type inv ?

 $DT_4$  moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \triangleq \{ DT_4 - solutions \} /_{\cong} \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$ 

Question: Donaldson-type inv ?

Need: (1) Compactness (2) Orientation (3) Transversality

 $DT_4$  moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \triangleq \{ DT_4 - solutions \} / \cong \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$ 

Question: Donaldson-type inv ?

Need: (1) Compactness (2) Orientation (3) Transversality

Issue (2), i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} \triangleq det \left( (\wedge^{top} Ext^2_+(E, E))^{-1} \otimes \wedge^{top} Ext^1(E, E) 
ight) \cong \mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} imes \mathbb{R}$$
 ?

### Theorem (C-Leung)

Given X: compact simply connected  $CY_4$  with  $H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , U(r) bundle  $E \to X$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  is trivial.

### Theorem (C-Leung)

Given X: compact simply connected  $CY_4$  with  $H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , U(r) bundle  $E \to X$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  is trivial.

Above conditions hold for complete intersections in product of projective spaces

Compactness issue, note:

$$F^{0,2} = 0 \Rightarrow F^{0,2}_+ = 0, \ ch_2(E) \in H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{C})$$

Compactness issue, note:

$$F^{0,2} = 0 \Rightarrow F^{0,2}_+ = 0, \ ch_2(E) \in H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{C})$$

#### Lemma (Lewis)

Converse is true. In particular, if every Gieseker semi-stable sheaf is a slope stable bundle i.e.  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  is compact.

Compactness issue, note:

$$F^{0,2} = 0 \Rightarrow F^{0,2}_+ = 0, \ ch_2(E) \in H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{C})$$

#### Lemma (Lewis)

Converse is true. In particular, if every Gieseker semi-stable sheaf is a slope stable bundle i.e.  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  is compact.

In this case,  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \cong \mathcal{M}_c^{bdl}$  as SETs.

Transversality issue, use Li-Tian's virtual cycle

Transversality issue, use Li-Tian's virtual cycle

Theorem (C-Leung)

Assume  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is oriented. Then

 $\exists \ [\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} \in H_{r}(\mathcal{B},\mathbb{Z}).$ 

The cycle is inv under deformation of complex str of X.

Transversality issue, use Li-Tian's virtual cycle

Theorem (C-Leung)

Assume  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is oriented. Then

 $\exists \ [\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} \in H_{r}(\mathcal{B},\mathbb{Z}).$ 

The cycle is inv under deformation of complex str of X.

 $r = 2 - \chi(X, EndE)$  is the virtual dim of  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4}$ .

Recall:  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \cong \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  as **SETs**.

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

 $\mathsf{Recall:} \ \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \cong \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \text{ as } \mathsf{SETs}.$ 

**Q**: whether the analytic strs are the same ?

Recall: 
$$\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \cong \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$$
 as **SETs**.

**Q**: whether the analytic strs are the same ?

Recall: analytic str of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$  is described by Kuranishi theory, i.e.

$$\exists \kappa : H^{0,1}(X, EndE) \rightarrow H^{0,2}(X, EndE),$$

s.t  $\mathcal{M}_c^{bdl} \cong \kappa^{-1}(0)$  locally.

Recall: 
$$\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \cong \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$$
 as **SETs**.

**Q**: whether the analytic strs are the same ?

Recall: analytic str of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$  is described by Kuranishi theory, i.e.

$$\exists \kappa : H^{0,1}(X, EndE) \rightarrow H^{0,2}(X, EndE),$$

s.t 
$$\mathcal{M}_c^{bdl} \cong \kappa^{-1}(0)$$
 locally.

Claim:  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \cong \mathcal{M}_c^{bdl}$  as sets but **NOT** necessarily as real analytic spaces possibly with non-reduced structures.

Recall: 
$$\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \cong \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$$
 as **SETs**.

**Q**: whether the analytic strs are the same ?

Recall: analytic str of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$  is described by Kuranishi theory, i.e.

$$\exists \kappa : H^{0,1}(X, EndE) \rightarrow H^{0,2}(X, EndE),$$

s.t 
$$\mathcal{M}_c^{bdl} \cong \kappa^{-1}(0)$$
 locally.

Claim:  $\mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4} \cong \mathcal{M}_c^{bdl}$  as sets but **NOT** necessarily as real analytic spaces possibly with non-reduced structures.

This is based on the following Kuranishi type thm for  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ 

#### Theorem (C-Leung)

If  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ , local Kuranishi model of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  at  $d_{A}$  is

$$\kappa_+: H^{0,1}(X, EndE) \xrightarrow{\kappa} H^{0,2}(X, EndE) \xrightarrow{\pi_+} H^{0,2}_+(X, EndE),$$

where  $\kappa$  is a Kuranishi map for  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$ .

Furthermore,  $\exists$  closed imbedding between analytic spaces possibly with non-reduced structures

$$\mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$$

which is also homeomorphism between topological spaces.

This motivates the general compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

This motivates the general compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

In general, we hope to find an analytic space S and a homeomorphism

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} 
ightarrow S$ 

s.t.  $S \cong \kappa_+^{-1}(0)$  locally at  $\mathcal{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ , where

 $\kappa_+: \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_+(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}).$ 

This motivates the general compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

In general, we hope to find an analytic space S and a homeomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{shf}_{c} 
ightarrow S$$

s.t.  $S \cong \kappa_+^{-1}(0)$  locally at  $\mathcal{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ , where  $\kappa_+ : Ext^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \to Ext^2_+(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}).$ 

We call such S the generalized  $DT_4$  moduli space and denote it  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}$ .

This motivates the general compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

In general, we hope to find an analytic space S and a homeomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{shf}_{c} o S$$

s.t.  $S \cong \kappa_+^{-1}(0)$  locally at  $\mathcal{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ , where  $\kappa_+ : Ext^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \to Ext^2_+(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}).$ 

We call such S the generalized  $DT_4$  moduli space and denote it  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}$ .

In general,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  may come from gluing local models.

通 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト 「 日 」 のなの
Easiest case: If  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

Easiest case: If 
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$$
,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

Next easiest case: When  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{sm}$  as analytic space?

Easiest case: If 
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl} \neq \emptyset$$
,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$ .

Next easiest case: When  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  as analytic space?

Eg 1 (C-Leung) If  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  is smooth, (i.e. all Kuranishi maps are zero), then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$ .

$$\text{Easiest case: If } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_c^{bdl} \neq \emptyset, \ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \text{ exists and } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} = \mathcal{M}_c^{DT_4}.$$

Next easiest case: When  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  as analytic space?

Eg 1 (C-Leung) If  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  is smooth, (i.e. all Kuranishi maps are zero), then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$ .

Eg 2 (C-Leung) If  $X = K_Y$ , with Y compact Fano 3-fold and  $supp(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq Y$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ .

More generally, we have

More generally, we have

### Proposition (C-Leung)

If 
$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$$
,  $\exists V_{\mathcal{F}} \ s.t \ (Ext^{2}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}), Q_{Serre}) \cong (T^{*}V_{\mathcal{F}}, Q_{std})$  and  $Image(\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}) \subseteq V_{\mathcal{F}}$ , where

$$Q_{Serre}: \mathsf{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})\otimes \mathsf{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}) o \mathsf{Ext}^4(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is the Serre duality pairing,  $Q_{std}$  is the standard pairing between dual spaces, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$ .

In the above examples,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$ .

In the above examples, 
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}$$
 exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ .

What's more,

$$\exists \ [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} \in H_{r}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}).$$

In the above examples, 
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}$$
 exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}$ .

What's more,

$$\exists \ [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} \in H_{r}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}).$$

This coincides with our earlier def of virtual cycles when semi-stable sheaves are stable bundles.

$$\mu: H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ...,] \to H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf})$$
$$\mu(\gamma, P) = P(c_1(\mathfrak{F}), c_2(\mathfrak{F}), ...,)/\gamma$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the universal sheaf.

$$\mu: H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., ] \to H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf})$$
$$\mu(\gamma, P) = P(c_1(\mathfrak{F}), c_2(\mathfrak{F}), ..., )/\gamma$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the universal sheaf.

Take 
$$(\gamma, P) \rightsquigarrow DT_4$$
-inv $= \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir}} \mu(\gamma, P).$ 

$$egin{aligned} &\mu:H_*(X)\otimes\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,...,]
ightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{shf}_c)\ &\mu(\gamma,P)=P(c_1(\mathfrak{F}),c_2(\mathfrak{F}),...,)/\gamma \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the universal sheaf.

Take 
$$(\gamma, P) \rightsquigarrow DT_4$$
-inv=  $\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir}} \mu(\gamma, P)$ .

Since we only define  $DT_4$ -inv in several cases with different assumptions, to make all cases consistent, we propose several axioms that  $DT_4$ -invs should satisfy.

## Axioms of $DT_4$ invariants

**Axioms**: Given a polarized  $CY_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$ ,  $c \in H^{even}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and an orientation  $o(\mathcal{L})$ , the  $DT_4$ -inv is a map

 $DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) : Sym^*(H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ...]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$ 

such that

**Axioms**: Given a polarized  $CY_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$ ,  $c \in H^{even}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and an orientation  $o(\mathcal{L})$ , the  $DT_4$ -inv is a map

 $DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) : Sym^*(H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ...]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$ 

such that

### (1) Orientation reversed

 $DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) = -DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, -o(\mathcal{L}))$ 

**Axioms**: Given a polarized  $CY_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$ ,  $c \in H^{even}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and an orientation  $o(\mathcal{L})$ , the  $DT_4$ -inv is a map

$$DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) : Sym^*(H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ...]) \to \mathbb{Z},$$

such that

### (1) Orientation reversed

$$DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) = -DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, -o(\mathcal{L}))$$

(2) Deformation invariance

$$DT_4(X_0, \mathcal{O}(1)|_{X_0}, c, o(\mathcal{L}_0)) = DT_4(X_1, \mathcal{O}(1)|_{X_1}, c, o(\mathcal{L}_1))$$

 $ig(X_t,\mathcal{O}(1)ig)$ ,  $t\in[0,1]$  deformation of cpx structures.

## Axioms of $DT_4$ invariants

#### (3) Vanishing for negative virtual dimension

 $DT_4(X,\mathcal{O}(1),c,o(\mathcal{L}))=0$ 

if  $2 - \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) < 0$ , where  $\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$  is determined by topology of X and c.

### (3) Vanishing for negative virtual dimension

 $DT_4(X,\mathcal{O}(1),c,o(\mathcal{L}))=0$ 

if  $2 - \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) < 0$ , where  $\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$  is determined by topology of X and c.

(4) Vanishing for certain choice of *c* 

$$DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) = 0,$$

if any one of the following two conditions is satisfied, (i)  $c|_{H^4(X,\mathbb{Q})}$  has no component in  $H^{0,4}(X)$  and  $c \notin \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 H^{i,i}(X)$ ; (ii)  $c \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 H^{i,i}(X)$ ,  $\exists \varphi \in H^1(X, TX)$  such that  $\varphi \lrcorner (c|_{H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{Q})}) \neq 0$ 

### (3) Vanishing for negative virtual dimension

 $DT_4(X,\mathcal{O}(1),c,o(\mathcal{L}))=0$ 

if  $2 - \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) < 0$ , where  $\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$  is determined by topology of X and c.

(4) Vanishing for certain choice of *c* 

 $DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) = 0,$ 

if any one of the following two conditions is satisfied, (i)  $c|_{H^4(X,\mathbb{Q})}$  has no component in  $H^{0,4}(X)$  and  $c \notin \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 H^{i,i}(X)$ ; (ii)  $c \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 H^{i,i}(X)$ ,  $\exists \varphi \in H^1(X, TX)$  such that  $\varphi \lrcorner (c|_{H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{Q})}) \neq 0$ 

(5) Vanishing for compact hyper-Kähler manifolds

$$DT_4(X, \mathcal{O}(1), c, o(\mathcal{L})) = 0$$

if Hol(X) = Sp(2).

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

## Axioms of $DT_4$ invariants

(6)  $DT_4/DT_3$  correspondence For any compact Fano 3-fold  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ ,

 $DT_4(K_Y, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_Y(1), c, o(\mathcal{O})) = DT_3(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1), c'),$ 

 $\pi: \mathcal{K}_Y \to Y \text{ is projection, } c = (0, c|_{H^2_c(\mathcal{K}_Y)} \neq 0, *, *, *).$ 

In this setup, sheaves in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  is of type  $\iota_{*}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\iota: Y \to K_{Y}$  the zero section and  $c' = ch(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{even}(Y)$  uniquely determined by  $c. o(\mathcal{O})$  denotes the natural complex orientation.

# Axioms of $DT_4$ invariants

(6)  $DT_4/DT_3$  correspondence For any compact Fano 3-fold  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ ,

 $DT_4(K_Y, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_Y(1), c, o(\mathcal{O})) = DT_3(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1), c'),$ 

 $\pi: \mathcal{K}_Y \to Y \text{ is projection, } c = (0, c|_{H^2_c(\mathcal{K}_Y)} \neq 0, *, *, *).$ 

In this setup, sheaves in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}$  is of type  $\iota_{*}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\iota: Y \to K_{Y}$  the zero section and  $c' = ch(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{even}(Y)$  uniquely determined by  $c. o(\mathcal{O})$  denotes the natural complex orientation.

#### (7) Normalizations

If virtual cycles exist (mentioned before)

$$DT_4$$
-inv =<  $\mu(, ), [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir} >$ 

## Computational examples $(DT_4/GW \text{ correspondence})$

For smooth genus zero curve  $C \hookrightarrow X$  with  $\beta = [C] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $ch(\mathcal{I}_C) = (1, 0, 0, -PD(\beta), -1)$ .

# Computational examples $(DT_4/GW \text{ correspondence})$

For smooth genus zero curve  $C \hookrightarrow X$  with  $\beta = [C] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $ch(\mathcal{I}_C) = (1, 0, 0, -PD(\beta), -1)$ .

### Proposition (C-Leung)

Given compact CY<sub>4</sub>: X, 
$$c = (1, 0, 0, -PD(\beta), -1) \in H^{even}(X)$$
.  
Assume  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \{\mathcal{I}_{C}\} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}^{GW}(X, \beta)$  smooth,

C: smooth imbedded g = 0 curve. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  has natural orientation,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}$  exists and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}^{GW}(X,\beta)$ . Furthermore,

(1) if Hol(X) = SU(4), then

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}^{GW}(X,\beta)]^{vir},$$

(2) if Hol(X) = Sp(2), then  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} = 0$  and

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]_{red}^{vir} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}^{GW}(X,\beta)]_{red}^{vir}.$$

 $X = T^* \mathbb{P}^2$ , count sheaves w/  $supp(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  (scheme theoretically)

▶ ◀ ⋽ ▶

 $X=\,T^*\mathbb{P}^2$ , count sheaves w/  $supp(\mathcal{F})\subseteq\mathbb{P}^2$  (scheme theoretically)

### Proposition (C-Leung)

$$\iota_*: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(\mathbb{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c,\mathbb{P}^2}^{shf}(T^*\mathbb{P}^2), \quad \iota: \mathbb{P}^2 \to T^*\mathbb{P}^2$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}$  has natural orientation and  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c,\mathbb{P}^2}^{shf}(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)]^{vir} = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$(1) \,\,$$
 when  $\mathit{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{shf}_{c,\mathbb{P}^2}(\,T^*\mathbb{P}^2)]^{\mathit{vir}}_{\mathit{red}} = 0$ ,

(2) when  $rk(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ ,

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c,\mathbb{P}^2}^{shf}(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)]_{red}^{vir} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c = (1,*,0) \\ \chi(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2)) & \text{if } c = (1,0,-n) \end{cases}$$

By W.P.Li and Z.Qin, we have examples when  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_c^{bdl}$ .

By W.P.Li and Z.Qin, we have examples when  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$ .

Eg. X generic smooth hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^1 imes \mathbb{P}^4$  of (2,5) type

Chern class = 
$$[1 + (-1, 1)|_X] \cdot [1 + (1, 0)|_X]$$
,

Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}(L_{r}^{X})$  (Gieseker moduli space *w.r.t*  $L_{r}^{X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{4}}(1, r)|_{X}$ ) is smooth and consists of slope-stable bdls only.

By W.P.Li and Z.Qin, we have examples when  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$ .

Eg. X generic smooth hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^4$  of (2,5) type

Chern class = 
$$[1 + (-1, 1)|_X] \cdot [1 + (1, 0)|_X]$$
,

Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}(L_{r}^{X})$  (Gieseker moduli space *w.r.t*  $L_{r}^{X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{4}}(1, r)|_{X}$ ) is smooth and consists of slope-stable bdls only.

(1) If  $r \geq 2$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir} = [\mathbb{P}^5]$ .

By W.P.Li and Z.Qin, we have examples when  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$ .

Eg. X generic smooth hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^4$  of (2,5) type

Chern class = 
$$[1 + (-1, 1)|_X] \cdot [1 + (1, 0)|_X]$$
,

Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}(L_{r}^{X})$  (Gieseker moduli space *w.r.t*  $L_{r}^{X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{4}}(1, r)|_{X}$ ) is smooth and consists of slope-stable bdls only.

(1) If 
$$r \ge 2$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir} = [\mathbb{P}^5]$ 

(2) If 
$$r = 1$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) = \emptyset$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir} = 0$ .

By W.P.Li and Z.Qin, we have examples when  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf} = \mathcal{M}_{c}^{bdl}$ .

Eg. X generic smooth hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^4$  of (2,5) type

Chern class = 
$$[1 + (-1, 1)|_X] \cdot [1 + (1, 0)|_X]$$
,

Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}(L_{r}^{X})$  (Gieseker moduli space *w.r.t*  $L_{r}^{X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{4}}(1, r)|_{X}$ ) is smooth and consists of slope-stable bdls only.

(1) If 
$$r \geq 2$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{shf}(L_{r}^{X}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{5}$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} = [\mathbb{P}^{5}]$ .

(2) If 
$$r = 1$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) = \emptyset$ ,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4}]^{vir} = 0$ .

#### Remark

Wall-crossing phenomenon exists in DT<sub>4</sub> theory

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

Image: A math a math

More generally, we have

More generally, we have

Proposition (C-Leung)

X a generic smooth hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^4$  of (2,5) type

$$c = [1 + (-1, 1)|_X] \cdot [1 + (\epsilon_1 + 1, \epsilon_2 - 1)|_X], \quad \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = 0, 1$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{shf}_{c}(L^X_r)$  is the Gieseker moduli space,  $L^X_r = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 imes \mathbb{P}^4}(1,r)|_X$ 

(1) If 
$$\frac{15(2-\epsilon_2)}{6+5\epsilon_1+2\epsilon_2} < r < \frac{15(2-\epsilon_2)}{\epsilon_1(1+2\epsilon_2)}$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) = \mathbb{P}^k$ ,

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} = [\mathbb{P}^{k}], \text{ where } k = (1 + \epsilon_{1}) \begin{pmatrix} 6 - \epsilon_{2} \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) If 
$$0 < r < \frac{15(2-\epsilon_2)}{6+5\epsilon_1+2\epsilon_2}$$
, then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{shf}(L_r^X) = \emptyset$ ,

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir}=0$$

## Computational examples (ideal sheaves of one point)

For ideal sheaves of one point, i.e.  $ch(\mathcal{I}_P) = (1, 0, 0, 0, -1)$ .

# Computational examples (ideal sheaves of one point)

For ideal sheaves of one point, i.e.  $ch(\mathcal{I}_P) = (1, 0, 0, 0, -1)$ .

#### Proposition (C-Leung)

Let X be a compact CY4, c = (1, 0, 0, 0, -1), then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_c^{DT_4} \cong X$ .

(1) If Hol(X) = SU(4), then

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir} = \pm PD(c_{3}(X)) \in H_{2}(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

(2) If Hol(X) = Sp(2), then

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]^{vir}=0\in H_{1}(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore,  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{c}^{DT_{4}}]_{red}^{vir} = 0 \in H_{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$ 

## Some further directions

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

We also define the equivariant  $DT_4$ -inv for ideal sheaves of curves  $I_n(X,\beta)$  on any toric  $CY_4$ , X by virtual localization formula.
We also define the equivariant  $DT_4$ -inv for ideal sheaves of curves  $I_n(X,\beta)$  on any toric  $CY_4$ , X by virtual localization formula.

We do not need to glue local models in this case as the torus fixed loci of  $\mathcal{I}_n(X,\beta)$  are isolated. Furthermore, the orientability is easy to achieve and we thus get the definition without any assumption.

We also define the equivariant  $DT_4$ -inv for ideal sheaves of curves  $I_n(X,\beta)$  on any toric  $CY_4$ , X by virtual localization formula.

We do not need to glue local models in this case as the torus fixed loci of  $\mathcal{I}_n(X,\beta)$  are isolated. Furthermore, the orientability is easy to achieve and we thus get the definition without any assumption.

The  $DT_4/GW$  correspondence in toric  $CY_4$  cases would be interesting to study.

## Relations with Borisov-Joyce's work

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

A related work was done by Dennis Borisov and Dominic Joyce (see homepage of Borisov, preprint 2014). They used local 'Darboux charts' in the sense of Brav, Bussi and Joyce, the machinery of homotopical algebra and  $C^{\infty}$ -algebraic geometry to get a compact derived  $C^{\infty}$ -scheme with the same underlying topological structure as the Gieseker moduli space of stable sheaves. A related work was done by Dennis Borisov and Dominic Joyce (see homepage of Borisov, preprint 2014). They used local 'Darboux charts' in the sense of Brav, Bussi and Joyce, the machinery of homotopical algebra and  $C^{\infty}$ -algebraic geometry to get a compact derived  $C^{\infty}$ -scheme with the same underlying topological structure as the Gieseker moduli space of stable sheaves.

In our language, their results proved the existence of generalized  $DT_4$  moduli spaces ( $C^{\infty}$ -scheme version) in general. Furthermore, they defined the virtual fundamental class of the above derived  $C^{\infty}$ -scheme.

In fact, BBJ's local 'Darboux theorem' mentioned above is important for their general gluing construction. We have a gauge theoretical proof of this 'Darboux theorem' for Gieseker moduli spaces of stable sheaves using gauge theory and Seidel-Thomas twists. In fact, BBJ's local 'Darboux theorem' mentioned above is important for their general gluing construction. We have a gauge theoretical proof of this 'Darboux theorem' for Gieseker moduli spaces of stable sheaves using gauge theory and Seidel-Thomas twists.

We then introduce a weaker condition on their local 'Darboux charts' to include local models induced from  $DT_4$  equations. It turns out that the weaker condition is already sufficient for their gluing requirement which then indicates the equivalence of their virtual fundamental classes and  $DT_4$  virtual cycles defined above.



## Thank you for your attention !

Yalong Cao (IMS, CUHK)

Donaldson-Thomas theory for CY4

Aug 13, 2014 27 / 27